
## **Announcements**

# CS 4300/6300: Artificial Intelligence

### **Adversarial Search:**


Planning ahead when others are planning against us.



[Based on slides created by Dan Klein and Pieter Abbeel for CS188 Intro to AI at UC Berkeley. http://ai.berkeley.edu.]

# Game Playing State-of-the-Art

- Checkers: 1950: First computer player. 1994: First computer champion: Chinook ended 40-year-reign of human champion Marion Tinsley using complete 8-piece endgame. 2007: Checkers solved!
- Chess: 1997: Deep Blue defeats human champion Gary Kasparov in a six-game match. Deep Blue examined 200M positions per second, used very sophisticated evaluation and undisclosed methods for extending some lines of search up to 40 ply. Current programs are even better, if less historic.
- Go: AlphaGo beats Lee Sedol (one of the strongest players in the history of Go) in 4/5 games in March 2016.
- Pacman




# Al (Pacman) in the (past) news




https://blogs.microsoft.com/ai/divide-conquer-microsoft-researchers-used-ai-master-ms-pac-man/


# **Behavior from Computation**



# Video of Demo Mystery Pacman



## **Adversarial Games**



## Types of Games

Many different kinds of games!

### Axes:

- Deterministic or stochastic?
- One, two, or more players?
- Zero sum?
- Perfect information (can you see the state)?




 Want algorithms for calculating a strategy (policy) which recommends a move from each state


### **Deterministic Games**


- Many possible formalizations, one is:
  - States: S (start at s<sub>0</sub>)
  - Players: P={1...N} (usually take turns)
  - Actions: A (may depend on player / state)
  - Transition Function: SxA → S
  - Terminal Test:  $S \rightarrow \{t,f\}$
  - Terminal Utilities: SxP → R

• Solution for a player is a policy:  $S \rightarrow A$ 



### Zero-Sum Games






#### Zero-Sum Games

- Agents have opposite utilities (values on outcomes)
- Lets us think of a single value that one maximizes and the other minimizes
- Adversarial, pure competition


#### General Games

- Agents have independent utilities (values on outcomes)
- Cooperation, indifference, competition, and more are all possible
- More later on non-zero-sum games


# **Adversarial Search**




# Single-Agent Trees



### Value of a State




## **Adversarial Game Trees**



### Minimax Values


### States Under Agent's Control:



### **Terminal States:**


$$V(s) = \text{known}$$

## Tic-Tac-Toe Game Tree



# Adversarial Search (Minimax)

- Deterministic, zero-sum games:
  - Tic-tac-toe, chess, checkers
  - One player maximizes result
  - The other minimizes result
- Minimax search:
  - A state-space search tree
  - Players alternate turns
  - Compute each node's minimax value: the best achievable utility against a rational (optimal) adversary



Terminal values: part of the game

## Minimax Implementation

# def max-value(state): initialize v = -∞ for each successor of state: v = max(v, min-value(successor)) return v






# def min-value(state): initialize v = +∞ for each successor of state: v = min(v, max-value(successor)) return v

$$V(s') = \min_{s \in \text{successors}(s')} V(s)$$

# Minimax Implementation (Dispatch)

```
def value(state):
                      if the state is a terminal state: return the state's utility
                      if the next agent is MAX: return max-value(state)
                      if the next agent is MIN: return min-value(state)
def max-value(state):
                                                             def min-value(state):
    initialize v = -\infty
                                                                 initialize v = +\infty
   for each successor of state:
                                                                 for each successor of state:
       v = max(v, value(successor))
                                                                     v = min(v, value(successor))
    return v
                                                                 return v
```

# Minimax Example



# Minimax Efficiency


### How efficient is minimax?

Just like (exhaustive) DFS


■ Time: O(b<sup>m</sup>)

Space: O(bm)

- Example: For chess,  $b \approx 35$ ,  $m \approx 100$ 
  - Exact solution is completely infeasible
  - But, do we need to explore the whole tree?



# **Minimax Properties**




Optimal against a perfect player. Otherwise?

# **Resource Limits**



## **Resource Limits**


- Problem: In realistic games, cannot search to leaves!
- Solution: Depth-limited search
  - Instead, search only to a limited depth in the tree
  - Replace terminal utilities with an evaluation function for non-terminal positions
- Example:
  - Suppose we have 100 seconds, can explore 10K nodes / sec
  - So can check 1M nodes per move
  - $\alpha$ - $\beta$  reaches about depth 8 decent chess program
- Guarantee of optimal play is gone
- More plies makes a BIG difference
- Use iterative deepening for an anytime algorithm




# **Depth Matters**

- Evaluation functions are always imperfect
- The deeper in the tree the evaluation function is buried, the less the quality of the evaluation function matters
- An important example of the tradeoff between complexity of features and complexity of computation







# Video of Demo Limited Depth (2)



# Video of Demo Limited Depth (10)




# **Evaluation Functions**



### **Evaluation Functions**

Evaluation functions score non-terminals in depth-limited search




- Ideal function: returns the actual minimax value of the position
- In practice: typically weighted linear sum of features:


$$Eval(s) = w_1 f_1(s) + w_2 f_2(s) + \dots + w_n f_n(s)$$

• e.g.  $f_1(s)$  = (num white queens – num black queens), etc.


# **Evaluation for Pacman**



# Video of Demo Thrashing (d=2)




## Why Pacman Starves




### A danger of replanning agents!

- He knows his score will go up by eating the dot now (west, east)
- He knows his score will go up just as much by eating the dot later (east, west)
- There are no point-scoring opportunities after eating the dot (within the horizon, two here)
- Therefore, waiting seems just as good as eating: he may go east, then back west in the next round of replanning!


# Video of Demo Thrashing -- Fixed (d=2)




# Video of Demo Smart Ghosts (Coordination)




# **Game Tree Pruning**



# Minimax Example



## Minimax Pruning




#### Alpha-Beta Pruning

- General configuration (MIN version)
  - We're computing the MIN-VALUE at some node n
  - We're looping over n's children
  - n's estimate of the childrens' min is dropping
  - Who cares about n's value? MAX
  - Let a be the best value that MAX can get at any choice point along the current path from the root
  - If *n* becomes worse than *a*, MAX will avoid it, so we can stop considering *n*'s other children (it's already bad enough that it won't be played)

MAX MIN MAX





MAX version is symmetric

#### Alpha-Beta Implementation

α: MAX's best option on path to root

β: MIN's best option on path to root


```
At root you should initialize \alpha = -\infty and \beta = +\infty
```

```
def max-value(state, \alpha, \beta):
    initialize v = -\infty
    for each successor of state:
        v = \max(v, value(successor, \alpha, \beta))
        if v \ge \beta return v
        \alpha = \max(\alpha, v)
    return v
```

```
def min-value(state , \alpha, \beta):
    initialize v = +\infty
    for each successor of state:
    v = \min(v, value(successor, \alpha, \beta))
    if v \le \alpha return v
    \beta = \min(\beta, v)
    return v
```

### Alpha-Beta Pruning Properties

- This pruning has no effect on minimax value computed for the root!
- Values of intermediate nodes might be wrong
  - Important: children of the root may have the wrong value
  - So the most naïve version won't let you do action selection
- Good child ordering improves effectiveness of pruning
- With "perfect ordering":
  - Time complexity drops to O(b<sup>m/2</sup>)
  - Doubles solvable depth!
  - Full search of, e.g. chess, is still hopeless...




This is a simple example of metareasoning (computing about what to compute)

```
\alpha: MAX's best option on path to root \beta: MIN's best option on path to root
```

```
def max-value(state, \alpha, \beta):
    initialize v = -\infty
    for each successor of state:
        v = \max(v, value(successor, \alpha, \beta))
        if v \ge \beta return v
        \alpha = \max(\alpha, v)
    return v
```


```
\begin{aligned} &\text{def min-value(state }, \alpha, \beta): \\ &\text{initialize } v = +\infty \\ &\text{for each successor of state:} \\ &v = \min(v, value(successor, \alpha, \beta)) \\ &\text{if } v \leq \alpha \text{ return } v \\ &\beta = \min(\beta, v) \\ &\text{return } v \end{aligned}
```



```
\alpha: MAX's best option on path to root \beta: MIN's best option on path to root
```

```
def max-value(state, \alpha, \beta):
   initialize v = -\infty
   for each successor of state:
    v = \max(v, value(successor, \alpha, \beta))
    if v \ge \beta return v
    \alpha = \max(\alpha, v)
   return v
```


```
\begin{aligned} &\text{def min-value(state }, \alpha, \beta): \\ &\text{initialize } v = +\infty \\ &\text{for each successor of state:} \\ &v = \min(v, \text{value(successor, } \alpha, \beta)) \\ &\text{if } v \leq \alpha \text{ return } v \\ &\beta = \min(\beta, v) \\ &\text{return } v \end{aligned}
```



```
\alpha: MAX's best option on path to root \beta: MIN's best option on path to root
```

```
\begin{aligned} &\text{def max-value(state, } \alpha, \beta): \\ &\text{initialize } v = -\infty \\ &\text{for each successor of state:} \\ &v = \max(v, \text{value(successor, } \alpha, \beta)) \\ &\text{if } v \geq \beta \text{ return } v \\ &\alpha = \max(\alpha, v) \\ &\text{return } v \end{aligned}
```


```
\begin{aligned} &\text{def min-value(state }, \alpha, \beta): \\ &\text{initialize } v = +\infty \\ &\text{for each successor of state:} \\ &v = \min(v, \text{value(successor, } \alpha, \beta)) \\ &\text{if } v \leq \alpha \text{ return } v \\ &\beta = \min(\beta, v) \\ &\text{return } v \end{aligned}
```



```
\alpha: MAX's best option on path to root \beta: MIN's best option on path to root
```

```
\begin{aligned} &\text{def max-value(state, } \alpha, \beta): \\ &\text{initialize } v = -\infty \\ &\text{for each successor of state:} \\ &v = \max(v, \text{value(successor, } \alpha, \beta)) \\ &\text{if } v \geq \beta \text{ return } v \\ &\alpha = \max(\alpha, v) \\ &\text{return } v \end{aligned}
```


```
\begin{aligned} &\text{def min-value(state }, \alpha, \beta): \\ &\text{initialize } v = +\infty \\ &\text{for each successor of state:} \\ &v = \min(v, \text{value(successor, } \alpha, \beta)) \\ &\text{if } v \leq \alpha \text{ return } v \\ &\beta = \min(\beta, v) \\ &\text{return } v \end{aligned}
```





```
\alpha: MAX's best option on path to root \beta: MIN's best option on path to root
```

```
\begin{aligned} &\text{def max-value(state, } \alpha, \beta): \\ &\text{initialize } v = -\infty \\ &\text{for each successor of state:} \\ &v = \max(v, \text{value(successor, } \alpha, \beta)) \\ &\text{if } v \geq \beta \text{ return } v \\ &\alpha = \max(\alpha, v) \\ &\text{return } v \end{aligned}
```

```
\begin{aligned} &\text{def min-value(state }, \alpha, \beta): \\ &\text{initialize } v = +\infty \\ &\text{for each successor of state:} \\ &v = \min(v, value(successor, \alpha, \beta)) \\ &\text{if } v \leq \alpha \text{ return } v \\ &\beta = \min(\beta, v) \\ &\text{return } v \end{aligned}
```







# Next Time: Uncertainty!